



# The City of Auburn Hills

## City Council Meeting

### Minutes

June 16, 2014

**CALL TO ORDER:** by Mayor McDaniel at 7:00 p.m.  
**LOCATION:** City Council Chamber, 1827 N. Squirrel Road, Auburn Hills, MI 48326  
**Present:** Mayor McDaniel, Mayor Pro Tem Kittle, Council Members Burmeister, Hammond, Knight, Mitchell, Verbeke  
**Absent:** None  
**Also Present:** City Manager Auger, Assistant City Manager Tanghe, Director Olko, Deputy Director Hardesty, Public Utilities Manager Michling, City Clerk Kowal, DPW Director Melchert, Deputy DPW Director Grice, Manager of Roads and Fleet Brisson, Finance Director Barnes, Assistant City Planner Keenan, City Attorney Beckerleg, City Engineer Juidici  
**Guests** 10

*Mayor McDaniel requested Item 13. Executive Session come before Item 4. and Agenda Item 7b. become OLD BUSINESS Item 8b. and the current 8b. Resolution Authorizing the City Manager to Request Reimbursement of Eligible Mosquito Control become Item 8c.*

#### 13. EXECUTIVE SESSION – Discussion of Personnel Issue

At the request of Mr. Auger, discussion was moved to Executive Session.

**Moved by Verbeke; Seconded by Mitchell.**

**RESOLVED: To recess to Executive Session for personnel issues.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: Knight**

**Motion Carried (6-1)**

#### **Resolution No. 14.06.109**

*Recessed to Executive Session at 7:03 p.m. resumed regular session at 7:43 p.m.*

**Moved by Verbeke; Seconded by Mitchell.**

**RESOLVED: To approve the resignation and termination of the employment agreement between Pete Auger and the City of Auburn Hills effective immediately.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Kittle, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: Hammond, Knight**

**Motion Carried (5-2)**

#### **Resolution No. 14.06.110**

*Mayor McDaniel recessed the meeting at 7:43 pm. The meeting was resumed at 8:05 pm.*

**Moved by Burmeister; Seconded by Verbeke.**

**RESOLVED: To appoint Assistant City Manager Tom Tanghe to the Acting City Manager position.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

#### **Resolution No. 14.06.111**

#### 4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

##### 4a. Regular City Council – June 2, 2014

Ms. Mitchell asked a typographical error be corrected on page four of the minutes.

**Moved by Mitchell; Seconded by Hammond.**

**RESOLVED: To approve the June 16, 2014 City Council meeting minutes as corrected.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

#### **Resolution No. 14.06.112**

##### 4b. Workshop Minutes – June 2, 2014.

**Moved by Kittle; Seconded by Knight.**

**RESOLVED: To approve the June 16, 2014 Workshop minutes.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

#### **Resolution No. 14.06.113**

##### 4c. Goals & Objectives Minutes – May 31, 2014.

**Moved by Verbeke; Seconded by Kittle.**

Mr. Kittle noted the workshop resulted with six key-strategic initiatives: relentless focus on fiscal sustainability; delivering outstanding City services; driving smart community development; promoting college and university collaborations; engagement with citizens; and encourage environmental stewardship. These will drive the budget process and the Council's goals for the 2015 fiscal year.

Ms. Kowal noted she will include the list of goals with the minutes.

**RESOLVED: To approve the May 31, 2014 Goals & Objectives minutes, with the attachment of the list of Goals and Objectives as determined at the Workshop.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

**Resolution No. 14.06.114**

**5. APPOINTMENTS AND PRESENTATIONS – none**

**6. PUBLIC COMMENT – none**

**7. CONSENT AGENDA**

All items listed are considered to be routine by the City Council and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a Council member so requests, in which event the item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered in its normal sequence on the agenda.

*Ms. Mitchell requested Item 7c. Approve GASB 54 Committed Funds be removed from the Consent Agenda.*

**7a. Board and Commission Minutes**

7a.1. Tax Increment Finance Authority – May 13, 2014

7a.2. Tax Increment Finance Authority – June 10, 2014

**7d. Motion – Approve Used Car Lot License Renewal – Recycled Bugs/Parts Place, Inc.**

**RESOLVED: To approve renewal of Used Car Lot License for the 2014/2015 licensing period for Recycled Bugs/Parts Place, Inc.**

**Moved by Kittle; Seconded by Knight.**

**RESOLVED: To approve Consent Agenda Items 7a. and 7d.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

**Resolution No. 14.06.115**

**7c. Motion – Approve GASB 54 Committed Funds**

Ms. Mitchell asked for clarification of the benefit for this request.

Mr. Barnes explained two years ago Council had to adopt GASB 54 and made these three funds, committed funds. Subsequently these funds were consolidated into the general fund, resulting in no longer having an independent fund balance. It is more of a cosmetic item for the financial statement and the auditors recommended it be approved by Council.

Mr. Kittle asked if this came before Council last year.

Mr. Barnes stated not last year, but two years ago the motion was much broader when there were quite a few funds consolidated and a number of changes to the financial statements. These three funds were the only funds in the committed section of the fund balance, Oakland Heights Development (Wayne Disposal), because it is an outside source of revenue, it maintained separate fund status with a separate fund balance and remains committed.

The audit statements were recently completed and the committed level of funds is in the 2014 budget, as shown on the financial statements.

Mr. Kittle noted there are five classifications under GASB 54 rules; assigned, not committed, non-spendable, restricted, unassigned, and unrestricted; the types of funds used in general operations. The unassigned/unrestricted is the available balance.

**Moved by Mitchell, Seconded by Burmeister.**

**RESOLVED: To approve the recommendation and the attached resolution (Attachment A) to treat the subsequent year's budget of the Street Improvement, Sidewalk Improvement, and Storm Water Management departments of the General fund as committed funds on the City's audited financial statements.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

**Resolution No. 14.06.116**

**8. OLD BUSINESS**

**8a. Motion – Approve the Energy Reduction Coalition Lighting Conversion Program Agreement**

Mr. Grice noted at the April 21, 2014, Council meeting, DPW highlighted a zero up-front cost LED street light replacement program offered by the Energy Reduction Coalition (ERC), a Michigan non-profit corporation. The proposed project also

includes; a field assessment to identify location, type, pole heights, and photometric measurements to determine the proper replacements; a GPS data gathering to identify the type and location of each pole; maintenance and associated costs of all fixtures for the duration of the contract; and a guaranteed 20% savings.

Ms. Verbeke asked for clarification of contract termination, which mentions 52 weeks as well as one week.

**Carl Walsh**, ERC founder, explained ample notice should be given by either party for cancellation, not necessarily 52 weeks, but a decent amount of time. If the City chose to terminate the contract, the actual lights could be removed at any time; the extended time period is to allow the company to find space to store the equipment.

Mr. Knight asked if it is possible to save more than 20%.

Mr. Walsh explained 20% is the minimum savings for the first year and will continue to rise to 60% by the final year. The new installation, the maintenance, any replacements are not the responsibility of the City, so therefore the initial savings to the City in the beginning is more than 20%. The payment schedule is based on the amount of savings each year to the City. The ERC is a sustainable nonprofit, meaning payment made to ERC enables the program to continue, without having perpetual donors. The first year's saving is determined by the difference between the cost from the old lighting system and the cost after the conversion. The blended average of savings will be close to a minimum 40% over a lifetime.

Mr. Grice explained only the light heads will be removed from the existing poles and replace with the new heads. If the project moves forward, the DPW has no reason to keep the existing light heads.

Mr. Kittle asked if money weren't an object, which makes more sense, leasing or buying and is the on-going maintenance part of the package regardless of purchasing or leasing.

Mr. Grice stated leasing includes the maintenance; however, there are options available such as in-house maintenance and invoice ERC for the work done and receive a credit. If purchased, only failure of the light fixture has a warranty, the labor costs are not covered.

Following up, Mr. Kittle asked with a payback between five to six years, would the additional savings off-set any of the maintenance work that may need to be done after the seven-year warranty.

After a discussion with Mr. Barnes, Mr. Grice understood if the City purchases the lights, and not taking into account the maintenance costs, it would be a good choice for the City to capture all the savings. However, it may cause other capital projects to be deferred. If money is available in the future, the City could then buy out the balance of the lease.

Ms. Mitchell asked if there is a cost associated with a buy-out option.

Mr. Grice explained the buy-out cost decreases each year; and as discussed with Mr. Barnes, the buy-out for the City would be most beneficial within the first couple of years.

Mr. Knight agrees, for right now the way to move forward is to lease, but to keep in mind each year the possibility of buying out the lease.

Mr. Kittle asked if it may be wise for the City to use its bond rating and low debt ratio to get a 10 year bond with a low interest rate as opposed to having a lease with ERC. A bond may result in a lower monthly payment as well as reaping the saving benefits from the lights.

Mr. Barnes stated bonds had not been looked at, only the lease and buy-out options. A million dollar bond is a very-very small amount, and the administrative costs would be extreme.

Mr. Tanghe stated another alternative may be to borrow the funds from TIFA B, since neither TIFA's A or D districts have sufficient funds for this project which falls within those districts.

Mr. Kittle is in favor of the project, but it may be in the best financial interest of the City to investigate other payment options.

Mr. Knight suggested moving forward with the project and look at the TIFA B district money for a buy-out in the first year.

Mr. Grice agreed with Mayor McDaniel and Mr. Knight of moving forward and leasing the lighting for the first year, making sure it is the right choice as well as having the improved product that the ERC is requiring of their supplier.

**Mike McClear**, ERC representative noted just by switching lighting systems immediately, the City will be saving money and can look at the lease buy-out along the way. The only way the City won't save any money on lighting is by taking no action and keeping the current lighting system.

Mr. Kittle noted the savings wouldn't be noticeable immediately because the City wouldn't be retrofitted immediately, it will be a gradual process as each head is replaced.

Mr. McClear explained the first 30 days would be the assessment phase, counting and assessing lamps; the photometric analysis and matching or exceeding the current light levels. If the conversion began today, he believes the entire conversion would be completed by year end. The savings for the first year would likely be three-quarters of the predicted savings, but would be accurate for the remaining time.

Mr. Walsh explained ERC does not begin calculating the first year until the conversion is completed to the City's satisfaction. Any savings that occurs during the ramp-up period belongs solely to the City. Also, the City can buy-out the lease at any time, it isn't necessary waiting for the first year to pass.

Responding to Mr. Tanghe, Mr. McClear the lighting quality when completed will be the same or better than what currently exists..

Mr. Walsh explained the ERC benefits when the product is purchase outright from day one, enabling ERC to continue their work with the next community.

Mr. Kittle asked Mr. Grice if the staff at DPW has confidence working with the LED lighting and could the current LED retrofit be rolled in with this project.

Mr. Grice explained the LED lights have been in place for a few years, which are the decorative light heads located downtown. Those lights are induction lighting and don't draw much electricity. The request for the next agenda item, Retrofit LED Lighting, is to purchase a second phase of lighting systems to continue the replacement program. The lights chosen have been a very good choice, and though ERC has offered to supply lighting, it is the preference of the DPW to continue with the same brand lights that are currently being used downtown.

Mayor McDaniel is in support of moving forward with the project and looking at funding possibilities along the way.

**Moved by Knight; Seconded by Hammond.**

**RESOLVED: To approve the Energy Reduction Coalition Lighting Conversion Program Agreement, with the option to exercise the early buyout provision in the agreement should project funding become available from the City and/or TIFA.**

Mr. Kittle asked permission to abstain from this vote as he has a personal relationship with Mr. Walsh and has discussed business proposals with this company.

**Moved by Knight, Seconded by Verbeke.**

**RESOLVED: To allow Mr. Kittle to abstain from this vote.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

**Resolution No. 14.06.117**

*Vote on the motion to approve the agreement.*

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Abstain: Kittle**

**Motion Carried (6-0-1)**

**Resolution No. 14.06.118**

8b. Motion – Approve Retrofit LED Lighting – Moved from Consent Agenda 7b.

Responding to Ms. Verbeke, Mr. Grice stated there was a process with six vendors responding; two vendors met the criteria.

Mr. Tanghe noted this is a continuation of the original purchase.

**Moved by Kittle; Seconded by Burmeister.**

**RESOLVED: To approve the purchase of 65 LED lighting systems for Downtown streetlights to Lumagine LLC, 11441 Rupp Drive Burnsville, MN 55337 in the amount of \$28,614.95, as recommended by the TIFA Board of Directors. Funding to be provided from TIFA-A, account #251-735-970.000**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

**Resolution No. 14.06.119**

8c. Motion – Approve Resolution Authorizing the City Manager to Request Reimbursement of Eligible Mosquito Control

Mr. Keenan explained the County is providing funding for the 12<sup>th</sup> year, for those communities implementing programs for mosquito control; the City is eligible to receive a reimbursement in the amount of \$3,427.13. The larvacide are placed in the catch basins, a main breeding location for mosquitoes, which lasts for 150 days. The City's plan has been approved by the County's health department.

Mr. Knight suggested since this project has been completed, maybe next year, as in years past, the manhole covers can be labeled to not dump into the drain system in order to protect the waterways.

Mr. Keenan noted there are volunteers who are stenciling catch basins in neighborhoods and the engineering standards now require the storm drain grates include that message.

**Moved by Verbeke, Seconded by Mitchell.**

**RESOLVED: To adopt the attached resolution (Attachment B) to authorize and direct the City Manager, as agent for the City of Auburn Hills, to request reimbursement of eligible mosquito control activity under Oakland County's West Nile Virus Fund Program.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

**Resolution No. 14.06.120**

## 9. NEW BUSINESS

### 9a. Motion – Approve Investment Performance Report-Cutwater Asset Management & Ambassador Capital Management

Mr. Barnes noted he never thought he'd be happy with 1 ½% on return but, the first four months of 2014 shows Cutwater has earned \$128,858.51 while Ambassador has earned \$35,428.54 as of April 30, 2014. This amount is well ahead of last year; and looking at May's amounts, the Managers made another \$70,000.

Mr. Knight noted Cutwater is doing 200 times better than its competitor and maybe something should be done.

**Moved by Verbeke; Seconded by Knight.**

**RESOLVED: To accept the Investment Performance report for Cutwater and Ambassador for the year to date activity through April 30, 2014.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

### **Resolution No. 14.06.121**

### 9b. Motion – Ethics Policy for Council and Committees

Mayor McDaniel noted Council discussed these issues and City Attorney Beckerleg drafted a policy for Council and Boards & Commissions.

Mr. Beckerleg explained at the consensus of City Council, he has drafted two amendments pertaining to ethics and gifts & gratuities for City Council and the appointed City Board & Committees members. These amendments are the same requirements that apply to City employees. There is a provision allowing for certain nominal acceptable gratuities.

Mayor McDaniel stated this is a move in the right direction for City Council and City Boards and Commission to be held to the same requirements of policies and procedures that City staff and employees have been held to over the years.

Mr. Kittle appreciates the effort and discussion with Council Members, noting this is a good step forward for Council to take a leadership role in ethics regarding local governments.

Mr. Knight asked where nominal is located in the document; he finds nothing in the copy he received and would like clarification.

Ms. Mitchell clarified that the Ethics Policy is applicable to all City appointed Boards and Commissions regardless of whether or not the Board/Commission has an active City Council Member Liaison assigned.

Mr. Beckerleg explained in the policy refers to ethics in the first paragraph on page three, specifically about gifts of nominal value. In gifts and gratuities section, nominal is also referred to.

Mr. Knight agrees there is no definition describing 'nominal' and asked for specifics.

Mr. Kittle explained the Gifts and Gratuities Policy sums up nominal; 'City employees, City Council Members and/or Members of City Boards and/or Commissions shall not solicit nor accept any gift or gratuity or anything of value from an individual or company or organization or other City employee...'

Agreeing with Mr. Beckerleg, Mayor McDaniel stated City staff will forward the new policies to the Board and Commission Members.

**Moved by Burmeister; Seconded by Kittle.**

**RESOLVED: To approve that City Council and City Boards and Commissions will be included in the following two City employee policies: Ethics Policy, Policy #80-06 and Gifts and Gratuities Policy, Policy #150-01.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

### **Resolution No. 14.06.122**

Mr. Knight noted in the past it was good practice for Council and Board & Commission Members to make known if they owned income property within the City and believes that should continue.

### 9c. Motion – Approve Bloomfield Orchards Subdivision, Water Main Replacement Bid

Mr. Michling explained this is a budgeted water main replacement project, the fifth phase of the 2003 Bloomfield Orchards Water Main Replacement Master Plan. The cast iron main will be replaced with new high-density polyethylene (HDPE) piping.

The original project scope included street and sidewalk replacement. However, once the bids were opened staff determined the prices for the concrete portion of the project were unusually high. Since the contract was bid in divisions staff elected to re-bid the concrete portion of this project to realize a greater cost savings.

The low bidder and recommendation for the water main is Pamar Enterprises, who has worked with the City on past projects. Additionally, after reviewing the scope of engineering services, the staff recommends awarding the time and materials contract to OHM Advisors and TEC.

Ms. Verbeke asked what the plan is if the next set of concrete bids come in too high.

Mr. Michling stated that isn't expected, since discussing costs with a few contractors and getting an idea of the expected costs.

Mr. Michling confirmed for Mr. Knight the water lines are old and need replacing as well as replacing the old six inch pipes with larger ones to increase capacity.

Mr. Knight noted sidewalks on South Hampton and Sheffield are not connected to the pathway at South Boulevard and suggested the possibility of making those completions while working in the subdivision.

Mr. Brisson stated those connections are included in this year's sidewalk repairs.

Mr. Kittle is pleased with the colorful graph included in the packet, noting what year a phase was completed and what is yet to be completed, but asked if those uncompleted sections could have a completion year attached to them.

Mr. Michling explained with sufficient funding available, the plan is to split the remaining undone sections into two phases to be done over the next two years.

**Moved by Knight; Seconded by Kittle.**

**RESOLVED: To approve the bid of the Bloomfield Orchards Water Main Replacement Project to Pamar Enterprises, 58021 Gratiot, New Haven, Michigan 48048, in the amount of \$794,663.42. Engineering services in the amount of \$78,000.00 to OHM Advisors and material testing fees in the amount of \$4,000.00 to TEC. Funding provided from account number (592-536-971.001-BMLORCHWTRMN).**

Mr. Knight asked if the OHM amount for services is an exact number or a 'not to exceed' amount. Mr. Knight amended the motion to include 'not to exceed' for both OHM and TEC and Mr. Kittle supported the amendment.

**Moved by Knight, Seconded by Kittle**

**RESOLVED: To amend the motion to include 'not to exceed' for both OHM and TEC.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

**Resolution No. 14.06.123**

**RESOLVED: To approve the bid of the Bloomfield Orchards Water Main Replacement Project to Pamar Enterprises, 58021 Gratiot, New Haven, Michigan 48048, in the amount of \$794,663.42. Engineering services NOT TO EXCEED \$78,000.00 to OHM Advisors and material testing fees NOT TO EXCEED \$4,000.00 to TEC. Funding provided from account number (592-536-971.001-BMLORCHWTRMN).**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

**Resolution No. 14.06.124**

9d. Motion – Approve Asphalt Maintenance Program

Mr. Brisson explained this is the annual maintenance program with the majority of the project being capeseals in residential areas. There are smaller repairs scheduled for the Public Safety and Community Center parking lots, located in TIFA D.

The costs for the parking lots exceeded the budget amount, so DPW recommended to the TIFA Board to reduce the amount of parking lot work and stay within the budget. The majority of work will be in the Public Safety parking lot where it is most needed and do whatever possible in the Community Center parking lot and schedule the balance of work for another year.

The OHM construction management is included in this recommendation as is Highway Maintenance who has done excellent work in the City for several years.

Mr. Kittle asked if any of the \$200 million from the emergency budget resolution for local roads, from the State, has been distributed to the communities.

Mr. Juidici understands the funds will be allocated using the Act 51 formula, the same way the City receives other road funds. The funds received will be a part of this year's budget.

Responding to Mr. Burmeister, Mr. Brisson stated Grey Road was completed last year and is doing very well; all of the capeseals are doing very well for the local roads.

Mr. Burmeister asked why in OHM's scope, Grey Road is listed.

Mr. Brisson noted Havens Lane, off Grey Road is included, but Grey Road has been completed.

Mr. Knight stated Grey Road is complete, and is holding up well, except in front of his house. At the bottom of the hill, the cracks have reappeared and the snowplow has knocked the crest off the road and there is a deep dip. When Havens Lane is being worked on, he would like that small portion of Grey Road fixed.

**Moved by Verbeke; Seconded by Mitchell.**

**RESOLVED: To approve the cost associated with the 2014 Asphalt Maintenance Program and award the bid to Highway Maintenance and Construction, PO Box 74411, Romulus, Michigan 48174 in the amount of \$289,638.00. Further, to approve the OHM Scope of Construction Services where services will be performed on a time and materials basis for the not-to-exceed amount of \$ 35,000.00, with materials testing services estimated at \$ 2,500.00.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

**Resolution No. 14.06.125**

**9e. Motion – Approve Resolution Supporting University Drive/I-75 Diverging Diamond Interchange & Way Finding Signage**

Mr. Melchert noted this is a third resolution for the project, and is for wayfinding signage. The resolution is asking for City Council support of the sign design as presented in the packet. The idea of incorporating the wayfinding signage design with “City of Auburn Hills” and “Oakland University”, and constructing into the bridge’s decorative railing. This will inform motorists that they have arrived at the I-75 exit to visit Auburn Hills and Oakland University. MDOT requested signage approval from Lansing, which has been received. MDOT is requiring approval from City Council, supporting the Oakland University signage incorporated into the railing. Approval has not yet been received from the Federal Highway Administration.

Mr. Knight is in favor of including Oakland University; however, he doesn’t like the letters of Oakland University being larger than Auburn Hills. The letters in both should be at least of equal size and the same configuration.

Mr. Tanghe believes the expansion dictates the size of the letters used, as well as Auburn Hills is one line and Oakland University is stacked. The plan indicates the size of letters.

Mr. Knight stated he will not support the signage if the letters for Oakland University are not the same size or smaller than the City of Auburn Hills.

Ms. Hammond suggested adding to the sign ‘Home of’ Oakland University.

Ms. Verbeke asked the size of the letters.

Mr. Melchert explained this a concept rendering of the wording for the bridge, the size of letters can be altered. The only thing being sought is approval to allow Oakland University to be included in the signage.

Ms. Mitchell asked if other colleges have asked to be included in signage on the bridge.

Mr. Melchert stated not on this bridge.

Mr. Kittle noted Oakland University’s trademark shows Oakland being larger than University; he would also like consideration for Oakland University Drive. Continuing, he asked if this resolution is approval for the bridge, the signage - the whole project.

Mr. Melchert stated there is still ongoing design work; next week MDOT and Federal Highway Administration delegates and he, will be traveling to Missouri to see the diverging diamond interchange and meet with the Missouri Department of Transportation to discuss lessons learned. There may be some changes, but for the most part the design is completed.

Responding to Mr. Burmeister, Mr. Melchert stated both northbound and southbound sides of the bridge will be the same.

**Moved by Mitchell; Seconded by Verbeke.**

**RESOLVED: To approve the Resolution of Support University Drive/I-75 Diverging Diamond Interchange & City of Auburn Hills/Oakland University Way-Finding Signage.**

**VOTE: Yes: Burmeister, Hammond, Kittle, Knight, McDaniel, Mitchell, Verbeke**

**No: None**

**Motion Carried (7-0)**

**Resolution No. 14.06.126**

**10. COMMENTS AND MOTIONS FROM COUNCIL**

Ms. Hammond:

- Noticed on Sunday evening the only person at the DEN was a staff member and asked what the hours of operation will be for the summer months.

Mr. Tanghe noted the summer hours will remain the same allowing for consistency and reliability. After this initial year is completed, the hours will be evaluated to determine if they should be adjusted. A head count was conducted and discussed with the TIFA Board, when determining the DEN hours. He doesn’t recall the exact numbers, but they were in the 300 to 400 range during the winter months; he will forward the numbers to Council.

- Asked to be excused from sitting on the Planning Charette Committee.
- Noted Friday night is the Ice Cream Social, kicking off Summerfest.

Mr. Knight:

- Asked when the DDA recommendation will come before Council.

Mr. Tanghe stated on a July agenda with a resolution for Intent.

- The Fishing Derby was quite a success, thanks to Bass Pro. He suggested sending Bass Pro an accommodation or something thanking them for being such a great supporter and contributor of the event. There were 30 to 40 children attending and each child went away with a prize donated by Bass Pro.

Mr. Tanghe suggested he could work with Mr. Marzolf and Mr. Keenan to draft a proclamation to present to Bass Pro, if Council wishes.

Mr. Knight and Mayor McDaniel would like to proceed in that direction. Mayor McDaniel noted Bass Pro was also a sponsor for Paddlepalooza.

- The Farmers Market was Friday and the weather wasn't very cooperative. There were only 11 or so vendors and not much to choose from and he isn't sure how successful the Market will be this year.

Ms. Verbeke:

- Realized this afternoon that she won't be able to attend the Summerfest this weekend.
- She is happy to report the northern portion of Joslyn Road is opened and the road is nice and smooth. She asked how it will be determined if there is sufficient leftover funds to fix Joslyn Road, north of Pacific.

Mr. Melchert stated there are tri-party funds available for the north portion of Joslyn Road with the City's in-house concrete maintenance program. The bid will be coming forward in the near future.

Mr. Burmeister:

- Asked what time Council should be at the Summerfest.

Mayor McDaniel stated from 6:00 p.m. until 8:00 p.m.

Mr. Kittle:

- Wishes Mr. Auger all the best.

Mayor McDaniel:

- Noted traveling northbound, in the right lane, on Squirrel Road, just north of Auburn Road, there are black spots of asphalt sealant or similar, and asked Mr. Melchert if he could investigate and cleaned up if possible.

Mr. Knight believes it is from trucks doing work on Squirrel Court.

Ms. Hammond noted the curbs in that area also need to be looked at.

**11. CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT** – none

**12. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT** – none

**13. EXECUTIVE SESSION** – *Moved to beginning of Agenda*

**14. ADJOURNMENT**

Hearing no objection, the Meeting Adjourned at 9: 48 p.m.

---

Kevin R. McDaniel, Mayor

---

Terri Kowal, City Clerk

**CITY OF AUBURN HILLS  
RESOLUTION 14-06-116**

**Resolution to Approve Commitment of Fund Balances  
in Accordance with GASB Statement 54**

At a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Auburn Hills, Oakland County, Michigan, held in the Council Chamber at 1827 N. Squirrel Road, Auburn Hills MI 48326 at 7:00 p.m., on the 16th<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2014.

The following resolution was offered by Council Member Mitchell and supported by Council Member Burmeister:

**WHEREAS**, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has issued Statement No. 54 – *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, which redefines fund types and changes the terminology used for fund balance reporting on balance sheets of Governmental Funds, AND;

**WHEREAS**, the City Council of the City of Auburn Hills has reviewed the new terminology, AND;

**WHEREAS** the City Council of the City of Auburn Hills recommends that the following funds, whose revenues are primarily received as transfers from the General Fund, shall have their subsequent year’s budget amount reported as being committed for the purpose noted:

<b><u>Fund Name</u></b>	<b><u>2014 Budget</u></b>	<b><u>Committed Purpose</u></b>
Street Improvement	\$ 773,600	Major capital road projects
Sidewalk Improvement	\$ 554,000	Capital and maintenance sidewalk projects
Storm Water Management	\$1,223,563	Principal and interest payments and capital and maintenance storm water projects
Total Committed Funds	\$2,551,163	

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the City Council of the City of Auburn Hills accepts the aforementioned recommendation;

AYES: Mayor McDaniel, Mayor Pro Tem Kittle, Council Members Burmeister, Hammond, Knight, Mitchell, Verbeke

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTENTIONS: None

RESOLUTION 14.06.116 APPROVE (7-0)

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

)SS

COUNTY OF OAKLAND)

I, the undersigned, the duly qualified and appointed City Clerk of the City of Auburn Hills, Oakland County, Michigan do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council held on the 16th day of June, 2014, the original of which is on file in my office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature on this 17th day of June, 2014.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Terri Kowal, City Clerk

**CITY OF AUBURN HILLS  
RESOLUTION 14.06.120  
AUTHORIZING WEST NILE VIRUS  
FUND EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST**

At a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Auburn Hills, Oakland County, Michigan, held in the Council Chamber at 1827 N. Squirrel Road, Auburn Hills MI 48326 at 7:00 p.m., on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2014

The following resolution was offered by Council Member Verbeke and supported by Council Member Mitchell:

WHEREAS, upon the recommendation of the Oakland County Executive, the Oakland County Board of Commissioners has established a West Nile Virus Fund Program to assist Oakland County cities, villages and townships in addressing mosquito control activities; and

WHEREAS, Oakland County's West Nile Virus Fund Program authorizes Oakland County cities, villages and townships to apply for reimbursement of eligible expenses incurred in connection with personal mosquito protection measures/activity, mosquito habitat eradication, mosquito larviciding or focused adult mosquito insecticide spraying in designated community green areas; and

WHEREAS, the City of Auburn Hills, Oakland County, Michigan will incur expenses in connection with mosquito control activities believed to be eligible for reimbursement under Oakland County's West Nile Virus Fund Program.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED that the Auburn Hills City Council authorizes and directs the City Manager, as agent for the City of Auburn Hills, to request reimbursement of eligible mosquito control activity under Oakland County's West Nile Virus Fund Program

AYES: Mayor McDaniel, Mayor Pro Tem Kittle, Council members Burneister, Hammond, Knight, Mitchell, Verbeke

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTENTIONS: None

RESOLUTION 14.06.120 APPROVED (7-0)

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

)SS

COUNTY OF OAKLAND)

I, the undersigned, the duly appointed City Clerk for the City of Auburn Hills, Oakland County, Michigan do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted at a regular meeting of the Auburn Hills City Council held on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of June 2014.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2014.

---

Terri Kowal, City Clerk